



TITLE:

An example of J^+ for complex
Henon mappings which is locally
connected nowhere(Complex
Dynamics and its Related Fields)

AUTHOR(S):

Jin, Teisuke

CITATION:

Jin, Teisuke. An example of J^+ for complex Henon mappings which is locally connected nowhere(Complex Dynamics and its Related Fields). 数理解析研究所講究録 2006, 1494: 127-131

ISSUE DATE:

2006-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/58291>

RIGHT:

An example of J^+ for complex Hénon mappings which is locally connected nowhere

Teisuke Jin

Abstract

It is known that J^+ for complex Hénon mappings is connected. We give a sufficient condition so that J^+ is locally connected nowhere.

1 Introduction

In this paper we denote $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $p_j(y)$ be monic polynomials of $\deg p_j = d_j > 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We call $g_j(x, y) = (y, p_j(y) - \delta_j x)$ generalized Hénon mappings, where $\delta_j \neq 0$. Moreover we define

$$f = f_m \circ \dots \circ f_1, \quad \delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_m, \quad d = d_1 \cdots d_m.$$

Friedland and Milnor [5] classified polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 into three types: affine mapping, elementary mapping, composite of generalized Hénon mappings. The last one has complicated dynamical structures.

We define $K^\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \{f^{\pm n}(z) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is bounded}\}$, $J^\pm = \partial K^\pm$, $K = K^+ \cap K^-$ and $J = J^+ \cap J^-$. They are closed invariant sets.

Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{C}^2 . For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, define the stable set $W^s(X)$ and the unstable set $W^u(X)$ as follows: $W^s(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid d(f^n(z), f^n(X)) \rightarrow 0 \ (n \rightarrow \infty)\}$, $W^u(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid d(f^n(z), f^n(X)) \rightarrow 0 \ (n \rightarrow -\infty)\}$.

Let a be a periodic point with the period l such that the eigenvalues of $D(f^l)(a)$ are λ_s and λ_u ($|\lambda_s| < 1 < |\lambda_u|$). Such a periodic point is called a saddle point. Then we call $W^s(a)$ a stable manifold and $W^u(a)$ an unstable manifold since there are non-singular bijective entire mappings $H_s : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W^s(a)$ and $H_u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W^u(a)$ with $f \circ H_s(t) = H_s(\lambda_s t)$ and $f \circ H_u(t) = H_u(\lambda_u t)$. See [9] for example. Bedford and Smillie [2] showed $\overline{W^s(a)} = J^+$ and $\overline{W^u(a)} = J^-$.

We call $\tilde{K}^s = H_s^{-1}(K)$ a stable slice and $\tilde{K}^u = H_u^{-1}(K)$ an unstable slice. We say \tilde{K}^s is *stably connected* if \tilde{K}^s has no compact connected components [4]. We say \tilde{K}^s is *bridged* if the connected component of \tilde{K}^s containing the origin is not a point [7]. An unstable connectivity and a bridgedness for \tilde{K}^u are defined similarly. Note that a stable (unstable) connectivity implies a bridgedness and that the following are equivalent [7]:

- \tilde{K}^s is bridged,
- the connected component of \tilde{K}^s containing the origin is unbounded,
- \tilde{K}^s has an unbounded connected component.

In particular \tilde{K}^s is not bridged if and only if each component of \tilde{K}^s is compact.

2 Main theorems

Theorem 2.1. *If \tilde{K}^u is not unstably connected and \tilde{K}^s is not bridged then J^+ is not locally connected anywhere.*

Theorem 2.2. *Assume \tilde{K}^u is not unstably connected. Then there are at most finitely many periodic points p_1, \dots, p_n such that J^+ is locally connected only at the points.*

Note that $\overline{W^s(a)} = J^+$ and hence J^+ is connected. It implies that Theorem 2.1 gives an example of a connected set which is not locally connected anywhere.

It was shown [7] if \tilde{K}^u is bridged then the Yoccoz inequality holds. Therefore if \tilde{K}^u does not satisfy the inequality then it is not unstably connected, and if \tilde{K}^s does not then not bridged. Note that it is easy to give examples such that either \tilde{K}^u or \tilde{K}^s do not satisfy the inequality. It implies many Hénon mappings satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1.

3 Proofs of the main theorems

In this section we assume the unstable slice \tilde{K}^u is not unstably connected. For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ we define $B(X, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid d(z, X) < r\}$. Recall that the Green functions G^\pm are defined [1] as:

$$G^\pm(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|f^{\pm n}(z)\|$$

and have the following properties:

- G^\pm are nonnegative continuous plurisubharmonic functions,
- $G^\pm(z) = 0$ if and only if $z \in K^\pm$,
- $G^\pm|_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^\pm}$ are positive pluriharmonic functions,
- $G^\pm \circ f = d^{\pm 1} \cdot G^\pm$.

It is well-known [9] that in a neighborhood of saddle point a , f^l is conjugate to

$$\tilde{f}(s, t) = (\lambda_s s + st\alpha(s, t), \lambda_u t + st\beta(s, t)), \quad (3.1)$$

where α, β are holomorphic functions defined in a bidisk $\tilde{\Delta}$ centered at the origin. We denote by Φ the conjugation mapping whose domain is $\tilde{\Delta}$. Define $\Delta = \Phi(\tilde{\Delta})$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume J^+ is locally connected at $z_0 \in J^+$. Then for any $r > 0$, $H_s^{-1}(B(z_0, r))$ has an unbounded connected component. Moreover we have $z_0 \notin W^s(a)$.*

Proof. The local connectivity implies there is an open neighborhood V of z_0 in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $V \cap J^+$ is connected and $V \Subset B(z_0, r)$. Let \tilde{V}^s be a component of $H_s^{-1}(V)$ and \tilde{B}^s the component of $H_s^{-1}(B(z_0, r))$ containing \tilde{V}^s . We assume \tilde{B}^s is bounded and derive a contradiction.

We define $B^s = H_s(\tilde{B}^s)$. Choose $n \geq 0$ so that $f^{ln}(B^s) \Subset \Phi(\{(s, 0) \in \tilde{\Delta}\})$ and define $B_1^s = f^{ln}(B^s)$, $\tilde{B}_1^s = \Phi^{-1}(B_1^s)$. Let C be a simple closed curve in

$\tilde{\Delta} \cap \{t = 0\}$ which surrounds \tilde{B}_1^s and does not intersect with $\Phi^{-1}(f^{ln}(B(z_0, r)))$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small and decrease $r > 0$ slightly if necessary so that $\tilde{C} = \{(s, t) \in \tilde{\Delta} \mid (s, 0) \in C, |t| < \varepsilon\}$ and $\Phi^{-1}(f^{ln}(B(z_0, r)))$ do not intersect.

On the other hand, take a compact component K_1^u of $H_u(\tilde{K}^u)$ contained in $\Phi(\{(0, t) \in \tilde{\Delta}\})$ and define $\tilde{K}_1^u = \Phi^{-1}(K_1^u)$. Let Γ be a closed curve in $\tilde{\Delta} \cap \{s = 0\}$ which surrounds \tilde{K}_1^u and does not intersect with $\Phi^{-1}(H_u(\tilde{K}^u))$ [7, section 6]. Choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\hat{\Gamma} = \{(s, t) \mid (0, t) \in \Gamma, |s| < \delta\}$ does not intersect with $\Phi^{-1}(\Delta \cap K^+)$. By properties of the Green function G^+ , for any s_1 with $|s_1| < \delta$, $\Phi^{-1}(K^+) \cap \{s = s_1\}$ is not empty inside of $\hat{\Gamma}$ [4].

By (3.1), $\tilde{f}^k(\hat{C})$ approaches $\{t = 0\}$ uniformly and expand along $\{t = 0\}$ uniformly. Therefore if we take k large, $\hat{\Gamma}$ goes through $\tilde{f}^k(\hat{C})$.

Let us return to the starting point. Then $f^{-l(n+k)}(\Phi(\hat{\Gamma}))$ goes through $B(z_0, r)$ and V if we take k large if necessary. Since K^+ runs through inside of $f^{-l(n+k)}(\Phi(\hat{\Gamma}))$, we conclude that $V \cap J^+$ is not connected, which is a contradiction.

Let show the last statement of the theorem. Take $z_0 \in W^s(a)$. Since $W^s(a)$ is a 1-dimensional manifold, if we take $r > 0$ small, the connected component of $H_s^{-1}(B(z_0, r))$ containing $H_s^{-1}(z_0)$ is bounded. But an arbitrary open neighborhood V of z_0 intersects with the component, which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By the assumption there is a closed curve γ surrounding the origin and not intersecting with \tilde{K}^s [7, section 6]. Since f^{-n} diverges in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^+$ locally uniformly as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $f^{-n}(H_s(\gamma)) = H_s(\lambda_s^{-n}\gamma)$ diverges uniformly.

Assume J^+ is locally connected at $z_0 \in J^+$. Then some component of $H_s^{-1}(B(z_0, r))$ is unbounded. But if we choose n large, $f^{-n}(H_s(\gamma))$ is far from $B(z_0, r)$ and $\lambda_s^{-n}\gamma$ intersects $H_s^{-1}(B(z_0, r))$, which is a contradiction. \square

Let us proceed to prove Theorem 2.2. For $z_0 \in J^+ \setminus W^s(a)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$u(t) = \log d(H_s(t), z_0), \quad u_n(t) = \max\{0, u(t) + n\}.$$

For a nonnegative subharmonic function v on \mathbb{C} we define the order of v as follows:

$$\text{ord } v = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \max_{|t|=r} v(t)}{\log r}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The functions u and u_n are continuous subharmonic functions and we have*

$$\rho = \text{ord } u_n = \frac{l \log d}{-\log |\lambda_s|}.$$

Proof. Since $\log \|z\|$ is plurisubharmonic, u, u_n are subharmonic functions.

If we set $(h_1, h_2) = H_s$, the orders of h_1, h_2 are [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord } h_1 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \max_{|t|=r} |h_1(t)|}{\log r} = \frac{l \log d}{-\log |\lambda_s|}, \\ \text{ord } h_2 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \max_{|t|=r} |h_2(t)|}{\log r} = \frac{l \log d}{-\log |\lambda_s|}, \end{aligned}$$

since the period of a is l and the degree of f^l is d^l . It is easy to compute the order of u_n using the above equations. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let v be a nonnegative bounded subharmonic function in an unbounded open set $\Omega(\subset \mathbb{C})$ with an unbounded boundary. Let c be a bounded subset of $\partial\Omega$. If $v \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus c$, then $v(t)$ converges 0 uniformly as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ with $t \in \Omega$.*

Proof. We define

$$w(\tau) = \begin{cases} u(1/\tau) & \text{if } 1/\tau \in \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1/\tau \notin \Omega \cup \bar{c}. \end{cases}$$

Then w is a nonnegative bounded subharmonic function for $1/\tau \notin \bar{c}$. Moreover since w is bounded in a neighborhood of $\tau = 0$, Removable Singularity Theorem [8, p. 53] implies w is subharmonic around the origin.

We may assume w is non-constant for any neighborhood of the origin. Therefore we can apply Tsuji inequality [6, p. 548] to w . In fact, for $e^{-1} < \kappa < 1$ and $0 < r \leq \kappa^2 R$, we have

$$B(r) \leq C_2(\kappa)B(R) \exp \left\{ - \int_{r/\kappa}^{\kappa R} \frac{\alpha(\rho) d\rho}{\rho} \right\},$$

where $B(r) = \max\{w(t) \mid |t| = r\}$, $C_2(\kappa) = 6(1 - \kappa)^{-3/2}$. In our case we can set $\alpha(\rho) = 1/2$ by the structure of Ω . We have

$$B(r) \leq C_2(\kappa)B(R) \exp \left\{ - \int_{r/\kappa}^{\kappa R} \frac{d\rho}{2\rho} \right\} \leq C_2(\kappa)B(R) \sqrt{\frac{r}{\kappa^2 R}}.$$

Therefore $B(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Assume J^+ is locally connected at $z_0 \in J^+$. The above proposition implies $z_0 \notin W^s(a)$. In the following we will show that z_0 is an asymptotic point of H_s . Once we obtain the fact, since each holomorphic function of finite order [7] has at most finitely many asymptotic values, the proof is completed.

In general, let v be a nonnegative subharmonic function of complex one variable. Each connected component of $\{s \mid v(s) > 0\}$ is called *tract*. Then the number of tracts of v is at most $\max\{1, 2 \text{ord } v\}$ [6, Chapter 8].

Therefore the number of tracts of u_n is at most $\max\{1, 2\rho\}$. Take an appropriate $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the number of tracts of u_{n_0} attains its maximum q . For each tract of u_{n_0} choose an asymptotic path $\gamma_j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($0 \leq j \leq q$) with $u_{n_0}(\gamma(\xi)) > 0$ and $u_{n_0}(\gamma(\xi)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Take sufficiently large $R > 0$ and we may assume all paths γ_j intersect with $\{|t| = R\}$ only at their starting points. Then $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{D_R} \cup \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_q)$ consists of q -unbounded connected components, where $D_R = \{|t| < R\}$.

Choose U which is one of the components such that the infimum of u is $-\infty$ in the domain. Moreover choose large N so that

$$\min\{u_N(s) \mid t \in \overline{D_R} \cup \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_q\} > 0.$$

For each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, the above proposition implies we can take a point $s_j \in U$ such that the component of $\{s \in U \mid u(s) < -N - j\}$ containing s_j is unbounded.

Let us show that we can draw a path joining s_1 and s_2 such that $u < -N$ on the path. By the construction, s_1 and s_2 are contained in the unbounded components U_1 and U_2 of $\{s \in U \mid u(s) < -N - 1\}$, resp. Draw a smooth curve c_0 in U joining s_1 and s_2 . We may assume $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} \neq \emptyset$. Let us regard $U \setminus (\overline{U_1} \cup \overline{U_2} \cup c_0)$. Clearly the set is divided into two sides with respect to c_0 : one can access ∂U , another cannot. We choose the open set which cannot and name it Ω . Then $\partial\Omega$ consists of a part of ∂U_1 and ∂U_2 and c_0 . Note that $u_{N+1} \equiv 0$ on ∂U_1 and ∂U_2 , and that Ω is unbounded and that u_{N+1} is bounded in Ω . At this point, we can apply the above lemma, and obtain that u_{N+1} decrease to 0 uniformly as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ in Ω . Therefore we can draw a path $\Gamma_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ joining s_1 and s_2 such that $u < -N$ on Γ_1 .

Similarly we can draw paths $\Gamma_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ joining s_j and s_{j+1} such that $u < -N - j + 1$ on Γ_j for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. If we define

$$\Gamma(\xi) = \Gamma_j(\xi - j + 1) \quad \text{for } j - 1 \leq \xi < j,$$

Γ is an asymptotic path such that $u(\Gamma(\xi)) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $H_s(\Gamma(\xi)) \rightarrow z_0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, which implies z_0 is an asymptotic point of H_s . \square

References

- [1] E. Bedford, J. Smillie, Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity, *Invent. math.* 103 (1991), 69–99.
- [2] E. Bedford, J. Smillie, Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . II. Stable manifolds and recurrence, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1991), 657–679.
- [3] E. Bedford, J. Smillie, Fatou-Bieberbach domains arising from polynomial automorphisms, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 40 (1991), 789–792.
- [4] E. Bedford, J. Smillie, Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . VI. Connectivity of J , *Ann. of Math.* 148 (1998), 695–735.
- [5] S. Friedland, J. Milnor, Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 9 (1989), 67–99.
- [6] W. K. Hayman, *Subharmonic Functions*. Vol. 2, Academic Press, Inc., 1989.
- [7] T. Jin, Unstable manifolds and the Yoccoz inequality for complex Hénon mappings. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 52 (2003), 727–751.
- [8] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*. Oxford Science Publications, 1991.
- [9] S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi, T. Ueda, *Holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [10] T. Nishino, *Function theory in several complex variables*, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [11] M. Tsuji, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., 1959.